UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Julius Bradford, Case No.: 2:21-cv-00688-JAD-NJK

Plaintiff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

19

20

21

22

v.

Aaron D. Ford, et al.,

Defendants

Order Granting Motion to Amend the Complaint and Denying as Moot Motion for Reconsideration of Original Screening Order

(ECF Nos. 11, 12)

On March 23, 2022, I screened Plaintiff Julius Bradford's complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 10 1915A. Bradford then filed a motion requesting that I reconsider several aspects of the screening order.² About two weeks later, Bradford filed a motion requesting leave to file an 12 amended complaint, together with a proposed amended complaint. I grant Bradford's motion to 13 file an amended complaint and accept his proposed amended complaint as the operative 14 complaint in this case. Because the filing of the amended complaint moots the original one and 15 the related screening order, I deny Bradford's motion for reconsideration of the screening order 16 as moot. I will screen Bradford's amended complaint in a separate order. When screening Bradford's amended complaint, I will take into consideration Bradford's various arguments in 18 the motion for reconsideration. Bradford is advised that it may take several months before his amended complaint reaches the front of the line for this second screening.

¹ ECF No. 9.

² ECF No. 11.

³ ECF Nos. 12, 12-1.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the 2 screening order [ECF No. 11] is DENIED as moot. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to file an amended complaint [ECF 4 No. 12 is GRANTED. The court accepts the amended complaint (ECF No. 12-1) as the 5 operative complaint in this case and will screen the amended complaint in a separate order. The Clerk of the Court is directed to file the amended complaint (ECF No. 12-1). Dated: November 28, 2022 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey